

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States: Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS .P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,199 07/03/2003		Yong C. Kim	03/139 4571	
7590 06/30/2004		EXAMINER		
LEON D. ROSEN FREILICH, HORNBAKER & ROSEN			FISHMAN, MARINA	
Suite 1220			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
10960 Wilshire Blvd.			2832	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-1	
(71	
V	
\sim	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
0.00	10/613,199	KIM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art'Unit				
	Marina Fishman	2832				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep- of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 h	<i>May 2004</i> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-6 and 9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,4-6,9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/613,199 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

General Status

1. This is a Final Action on the Merits. Claims 1, 2, 4 - 6 and 9 (claims 3, 7 and 8 canceled) are pending in the case and are being examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 4 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kautz et al. [US 5,950,811] in view of Poling [US 4,920,240].

Kautz et al. disclose a snap action switch, which includes:

- upper and lower nonsnap contacts [18, 25];
- a snap action actuator [22] with an actuation location and tripping leg [Figure 2];
- a middle snap contact [24] on the tripping leg, lying between the upper and lower contacts [Figure 1]; and being moveable between a down position against the lower contact and an up position against the upper contact [Figures 3 7];
- the actuator being constructed to snap the middle contact from the down position to the up position, when the actuation location is depressed beyond a first snap height [Figures 1,

Application/Control Number: 10/613,199 Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

4, 7 Column 2, lines 65 – 68] and to snap the middle contact from the up position to the down position when the actuation location is allowed to rise beyond a second snap height [Figures 3, 5];

- a switch operator [56] with an operator end lying directly over
 the actuation location [Figures 1,3 –7];
- a spring [60].

Regarding Claim 1, Kautz et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for means for varying height of one of the nonsnap contacts, to vary one of the snap heights at which the middle contact snaps. Poling discloses a switch having the means [177, 179, Figure 3] for varying height of the nonsnap contact. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the means for varying height of one of the nonsnap contact, in Kautz et al., as suggested by Poling, in order to adjust travel of the switching means [Column 12, lines 24 – 32].

Regarding Claim 6, Kautz et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for a fluid inlet and a membrane. Poling discloses a fluid inlet and a membrane [Figures 1, 3; Column 5, lines 32 – 45]. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a fluid inlet and membrane in Kautz et al., as suggested by Poling, in order to operate an electric circuit controlling devices [Column 3, lines 45 – 50].

Application/Control Number: 10/613,199 Page 4

Art Unit: 2832

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed May 28, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant has argued, "two pins 177, 179 of Poling are press fitted and that determines the positions of two contacts 167, 165. His switch is not relevant to a snap action switch where the particular snap height, at which the moveable contact suddenly snaps and keeps moving until stops, is determined by the position of an opposite stationary contact." Examiner respectfully disagrees. The Poling reference [column 12, lines 24-32] cites "a set of **adjusting or calibrating pins** 177, 179, 181 are press fitted into a set of openings 183, 185, 187 provided therefor in lower housing member 65 and into deforming engagement with terminals 171, 173, 175 so as to adjust stationary contacts 165, 167, 169 thereon with respect to movable contacts 155, 157, 159 on switch elements 43, 45, 47 thereby to adjust or calibrate the travel of switching means 37, 39, 41, as well known to the art," clearly suggests that the pins are provided for 'adjusting' or 'calibrating' travel of stationary contacts with respect to movable contacts.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marina Fishman whose telephone number is 571-272-1991. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-5 M-T.

7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marina Fishman June 21, 2004